



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,005	09/27/2001	David F. Craddock	AUS920010491US1	2735

7590 07/14/2005  
Duke W. Yee, Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP  
P.O. Box 802334  
Dallas, TX 75380

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, VAN H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2194

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/965,005

Applicant(s)

CRADDOCK ET AL.

Examiner

VAN H. NGUYEN

Art Unit

2194

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 September 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

PL

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-19 are presented for examination.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101*

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 11-18 are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of Applicant's disclosure, specification page 31, lines 4-14, the medium is not limited to tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments (e.g., a floppy disk, a hard disk drive, a RAM, CD-ROMs, DVD-ROMs) and intangible embodiments (e.g., signal bearing media, transmission-type media... radio frequency and light wave transmissions). As such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is therefore non-statutory.

4. To overcome this type of 101 rejection the claims need to be amended to include only the physical computer media and not a transmission media or other intangible or non-functional media. For the specification at the bottom, carrier medium and transmission media would be not statutory but storage media would be statutory.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

(i) As to claim 1, “virtual router” (line 10) is indefinite because it is unclear if it is referring to “a virtual router” recited at line 6.

(ii) As to claim 11, “virtual router” (line 10) is indefinite because it is unclear if it is referring to “a virtual router” recited at line 7.

(iii) As to claim 19, “virtual router” (line 10) is indefinite because it is unclear if it is referring to “a virtual router” recited at line 6.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made

Art Unit: 2194

8. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Petty et al.** (U.S. 6,594,712) in view of **Yuasa et al.** (U.S. 6,085,138).

9. As to claim 1, Petty teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method for partitioning a computer network end node (col. 6, lines 14-29), the method comprising:

- virtualizing a plurality of network devices on a single multi-function chip by means of a combination of hardware and software to form network devices (col.6, lines 20-47 and fig.1);

and

- virtualizing at least one router on the single multi-function chip by means of a combination of hardware and software to form a router (col.6, lines 22-27 and fig.1), wherein the virtual router performs control-flow processing for the virtual network devices (col. 6, lines 23-38 and figs. 7a-7b);

wherein the network devices and the router form a subnet (col.6, lines 31-38).

Petty does teach network devices, a router, a subnet, but does not explicitly teach a virtual network devices, a virtual router and a virtual subnet and the virtual router functions of destination lookup and packet forwarding are incurred only on control-flow processing.

Yuasa teaches a virtual network devices (see the abstract), a virtual router (col.72, lines 14-30, a virtual subnet (col.39, lines 3-14), and the virtual router functions of destination lookup and packet forwarding are incurred only on control-flow processing (col.39, lines 16-34 and col.72, lines 14-41).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Yuasa and Petty because Yuasa's teaching

Art Unit: 2194

would have provided the capability for enabling high speed routing for the intranet. Thus, system costs are drastically decreased and intranet routing performance can be enhanced.

10. As to claim 2, Pettey teaches the virtual network devices are host channel adapters (e.g., host channel adapters; col.6, lines 20-24 and fig.1).

11. As to claim 3, Pettey teaches target channel adapters (e.g., target channel adapter; col.6, lines 55-65 and fig.2).

12. As to claim 4, Pettey teaches further comprising assigning unique identifiers to the network devices (col.11, lines 1-17).

13. As to claim 5, Pettey teaches virtualizing a plurality of subnets on the multi-function chip by means of software (col.6, lines 31-38).

14. As to claim 6, Pettey teaches registering the subnet (col.7, lines 52-65).

15. As to claim 7, Pettey teaches the physical subnet perceives the multi-function chip as only a single router with multiple HCAs residing behind it (e.g., IB router and IB HCAs fig.1).

16. As to claim 8, Pettey teaches nodes in the physical subnet communicate with the subnet through the router (fig.1 and associated text).

17. As to claim 9, Pettey teaches the multi-function chip provides resource configuration and allocation interface that allow software, firmware and hardware state machines to set an operating policy for the devices (col.11, lines 37-53 and col.12, line 24-45).

18. As to claim 10, Pettey teaches the multi-function chip provides standard device functions directly to the devices by means of physical queue pairs even though those devices logically reside behind a router (col.10, lines 57-col.11, line 7).

Art Unit: 2194

19. As to claims 11-18, note the rejection of claims 1-8 above. Claims 11-18 are the same as claims 1-8, except claims 11-18 are computer program product claims and claims 1-8 are method claims.

20. As to claim 19, note the rejection of claim 1 above. Claim 19 is the same as claim 1, except claims 19 is a system claim and claim 1 is a method claim.

### ***Response to Arguments***

21. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been fully considered, but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

22. Any inquiry or a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: (571) 272-2100.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VAN H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3765. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM - 6:00PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternative Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.


Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 2194

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**  
**Commissioner for patents**  
**P O Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

vhn

  
MENG-AL T. AN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100